Comparing Efficient Nearest Neighbor Techniques for Support Vector Machines in Large Feature Spaces

Diana Carolina Montañez, Adolfo Quiroz, Mateo Dulce, Alvaro J. Riascos Villegas

February de 2018

- 4 周 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日

Contenido



2 Algortihms

- Efficient Search of Support Vectors
- Proximity Preserving Order
- Efficient Pivot Selection

3 Data and Results

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Introduction

- We address the problem of efficiently finding nearest neighbors in order to train a support vector machine classifier in a large feature space.
- SVM in a nutshell.

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Introduction

- We address the problem of efficiently finding nearest neighbors in order to train a support vector machine classifier in a large feature space.
- SVM in a nutshell.

Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Contenido

1 Introducction

2 Algortihms

- Efficient Search of Support Vectors
- Proximity Preserving Order
- Efficient Pivot Selection

3 Data and Results

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Introducction Algortihms Data and Results Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Algortihms

- We follow Camelo et.al strategy for solving for SVs. using K-NN.
- Solving the K-NN query is costly in large feture space. We follow a pivot strategy and Chavez, et.al. proximity preserving order algorithm.
- We refine the pivot strategy by choosing appropiate (base) pivots following Micó et.al.
- We experimentally explore the relative merits of the pivot strategy against the more refined strategy and the state of the art benchmark: LIBSVM.

Algortihms

- We follow Camelo et.al strategy for solving for SVs. using K-NN.
- Solving the K-NN query is costly in large feture space. We follow a pivot strategy and Chavez, et.al. proximity preserving order algorithm.
- We refine the pivot strategy by choosing appropiate (base) pivots following Micó et.al.
- We experimentally explore the relative merits of the pivot strategy against the more refined strategy and the state of the art benchmark: LIBSVM.

Algortihms

- We follow Camelo et.al strategy for solving for SVs. using K-NN.
- Solving the K-NN query is costly in large feture space. We follow a pivot strategy and Chavez, et.al. proximity preserving order algorithm.
- We refine the pivot strategy by choosing appropiate (base) pivots following Micó et.al.
- We experimentally explore the relative merits of the pivot strategy against the more refined strategy and the state of the art benchmark: LIBSVM.

Algortihms

- We follow Camelo et.al strategy for solving for SVs. using K-NN.
- Solving the K-NN query is costly in large feture space. We follow a pivot strategy and Chavez, et.al. proximity preserving order algorithm.
- We refine the pivot strategy by choosing appropiate (base) pivots following Micó et.al.
- We experimentally explore the relative merits of the pivot strategy against the more refined strategy and the state of the art benchmark: LIBSVM.

Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Camelo, et.al

• Finding SV is costly.

- They propose solving for SV on small subsamples and look to K-NN of SV.
- They demonstrate two theorems that rationalizes their sampling strategy.

Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Camelo, et.al

- Finding SV is costly.
- They propose solving for SV on small subsamples and look to K-NN of SV.
- They demonstrate two theorems that rationalizes their sampling strategy.

Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Camelo, et.al

- Finding SV is costly.
- They propose solving for SV on small subsamples and look to K-NN of SV.
- They demonstrate two theorems that rationalizes their sampling strategy.

D , 1 . , 1

|▲□▶||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||▲□▶|||

Camelo, et.al

Y⁽⁰⁾ = 80 S⁽⁰⁾=D\7⁽⁰⁾

٠

.

.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

*

Camelo, et.al



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



Camelo, et.al



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Introducction Algortihms Data and Results Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Chavez, et.al

• K-NN queries are expensive specially in high dimensional feature spaces.



• Pivot strategy:



(D) (B) (E) (E)

æ

500

pivots.jpg

• Proximity preserving order:

- Then, for each *d* ∈ D, the distance to *p_i* ∈ ℙ is calculated. For each *d*, the *p_i* are ordered according to their distance to *d*. Therefore we have for each *d* a permutation π_d of the elements of ℙ.
- **2** Spearman's Footrule. Let $\pi_d(p_i)$ be the position of p_i in π_d , then

$$F(\pi_d, \pi_{d'}) = \sum_{1 \le i \le k} |\pi_d(p_i) - \pi_{d'}(p_i)|$$

(日) (四) (포) (포) (포)

S Example: $\pi_d = (p_2, p_1, p_3), \pi_{d'} = (p_1, p_3, p_2)$ then $F(\pi_d, \pi_{d'}) = |(2-1)| + |(1-3)| + |(3-2)|$

Introducction Algortihms Data and Results Efficient Search of Support Vectors Proximity Preserving Order Efficient Pivot Selection

Micó, et.al

• Random vrs. well separated pivots



Montañez, Quiroz, Dulce, Riascos

Nearest Neighbor Techniques SVM in Large Feature Spaces

Contenido

Introducction

2 Algortihms

- Efficient Search of Support Vectors
- Proximity Preserving Order
- Efficient Pivot Selection

3 Data and Results

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Data

Table 1. Dataset description.

Daraset	Train size	Test size	Features
real-sim	16,525	4,131	14,462
СОМ	8,205	2,172	9,892
СС	8,224	2,153	9,906

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○

æ

999

Results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで